

Filed by:

Fred E. McKelvey  
Senior Administrative Patent Judge  
Mail Stop Interference  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria Va 22313-1450  
Tel: 571-272-4683  
Fax: 571-273-0042

Filed 20 June 2006

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

STEVEN P. NOLAN and JINKUN HUANG,

Junior Party  
(Application 09/392,869).

v.

WOLFGANG ANTON HERRMANN, WOLFGANG SCHATTENMANN  
and THOMAS WESKAMP,

Senior Party  
(Application 11/021,967).

---

Patent Interference No. 105,372  
Technology Center 1600

---

**REDECLARATION - Bd.R. 203(c)**

**A. Introduction**

1. In an ORDER FOLLOWING CONFERENCE CALL, entered  
8 March 2006 (Paper 28), the parties were directed to advise the  
board as to which Nolan claims should be designated as  
corresponding to Count 2 and which Nolan claims should be  
designated as NOT corresponding to Count 2.

2. In a paper styled STATEMENT OF POSITION, filed  
30 March 2006 (Paper 29), the parties timely complied with the  
board's ORDER (Paper 28).

1           3.    The parties advise that:

2                   a.    The following Nolan claims should be  
3 designated as corresponding to Count 2:

4                           9  
5                           11-13  
6                           23-24  
7                           27-30  
8                           39  
9                           45  
10                          63  
11                          77  
12                          79  
13                          83  
14                          107-109

15                   b.    The following Nolan claims should be  
16 designated as NOT corresponding to Count 2:

17                           14  
18                           17-21  
19                           25-26  
20                           31-38  
21                           40  
22                           43-44  
23                           46-62  
24                           64-65  
25                           71-73  
26                           78  
27                           80-82  
28                           84-106  
29                           110-111

30           4.    As a result of a review of the STATEMENT OF  
31 POSITION (Paper 29), the board finds and concludes that the  
32 recommendations of the parties are acceptable.

33           5.    This REDECLARATION redesignates claims as  
34 corresponding or as NOT corresponding to Count 2 consistent with  
35 the recommendation of the parties.

1           **B.    Order**

2                           Claim correspondence

3           1.    The claims of the parties are:

4           Nolan:     9, 11-14, 17-21, 23-40, 43-65, 71-73 and  
5                       77-111

6           Herrmann: 1-21

7  
8           2.    The claims of the parties which correspond to  
9   Count 2 are [Paper 21, page 2, ¶ B(2)]:

10          Nolan:     9, 11-13, 23-24, 27-30, 39, 45, 63, 77, 79,  
11                       83 and 107-109

12  
13          Herrmann: 1-21

14  
15          3.    The claims of the parties which do not correspond  
16   to Count 1, and therefore are no longer involved in the  
17   interference, are [Paper 21, page 2, ¶ B(3)]:

18          Nolan:     14, 17-21, 25-26, 31-38, 40, 43-44, 46-62,  
19                       64-65, 71-73, 78, 80-82, 84-106 and 110-111

20  
21          Herrmann: None

22  
23          4.    The parties should review this REDECLARATION and  
24   then arrange a conference call with the board.

25          5.    A conference call may be made by calling the judge  
26   assigned to this interference at 808-262-1920 (in Kailua, Hawaii)  
27   anytime between 6:30 am to 14:00 pm (Hawaii Standard Time), which  
28   would be 12:30 pm to 8:00 pm (Eastern Daylight Time). If there

1 is not answer, do not leave a voice mail; rather place a call to  
2 the Trial Division support staff at 571-272-4683 to arrange for a  
3 date and time for a conference call.

4  
5  
6  
7  
8 /s/Fred E. McKelvey  
9 FRED E. McKELVEY,  
10 Senior Administrative Patent Judge  
11  
12

13 20 June 2005  
14 Kailua, HI

1 105,372  
2 cc (via electronic mail):  
3  
4 Attorney for Nolan  
5 (real party in interest  
6 University of New Orleans Foundation):  
7  
8 R. Andrew Patty II, Esq.  
9 Mary H. Drabnis, Esq.  
10 Email: [rap@spiplaw.com](mailto:rap@spiplaw.com)  
11 Email: [mhd@spiplaw.com](mailto:mhd@spiplaw.com)  
12  
13 Attorney for Herrmann  
14 (real party in interest  
15 Degussa AG):  
16  
17 Ashley I. Pezzner, Esq.  
18 Gary A. Bridge, Esq.  
19 Email: [apezner@cblh.com](mailto:apezner@cblh.com)  
20 Email: [gbridge@cblh.com](mailto:gbridge@cblh.com)